



Espinosa Gonzalez-Garza, I., & Cuthill, I. C. (2014). Disruptive coloration and perceptual grouping. *PLoS ONE*, 9(1), [e87153].
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087153>

Other version

License (if available):
CC BY

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1371/journal.pone.0087153](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087153)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via PLOS at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087153> Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Table S1. Pairwise comparisons between treatments in the time taken to detect the target and the proportion of trials with errors.

(a) Time (values are t statistics with df = 96 and associated p-values).

Experiment:	Greyscale		Red-Green	Yellow-Blue
Square size:	Small	Large	Both	Both
Border - Dark¹	6.45, p<0.001	7.18, p<0.001	9.46, p<0.001	8.14, p<0.001
Border - Light¹	6.56, p<0.001	7.55, p<0.001	8.55, p<0.001	8.52, p<0.001
Border - Square¹	1.84, p=0.069	0.32, p=0.747	2.96, p=0.004	5.20, p<0.001
Border - Stripe¹	4.73, p<0.001	4.45, p<0.001	5.35 p<0.001	5.48, p<0.001
Dark - Light	0.10, p=1.000	0.38, p=0.996	0.91, p=0.893	0.39, p=0.995
Dark - Square	8.30, p<0.001	7.50, p<0.001	6.50, p<0.001	2.94, p=0.033
Dark - Stripe	1.72, p=0.425	11.63, p<0.001	4.11, p=0.001	2.66, p=0.068
Light - Square	8.40, p<0.001	7.88, p<0.001	5.59, p<0.001	3.32, p=0.011
Light - Stripe	1.82, p=0.366	12.01, p<0.001	3.21, p=0.015	3.04, p=0.025
Square - Stripe	6.57, p<0.001	4.13, p=0.001	2.39, p=0.128	0.28, p=0.999

(b) Proportion of trials with errors (values are t statistics with df = 96 and associated p-values).

Experiment:	Greyscale	Red-Green	Yellow-Blue	
Square size:	Both	Both	Small	Large
Border - Dark¹	4.51, p<0.001	3.97, p<0.001	1.26, p=0.210	Not computable
Border - Light¹	3.87, p<0.001	3.43, p=0.001	1.72, p=0.090	3.01, p=0.003
Border - Square¹	3.48, p=0.001	2.91, p=0.004	1.52, p=0.131	3.25, p=0.001
Border - Stripe¹	4.38, p<0.001	2.50, p=0.014	1.41, p=0.162	3.07, p=0.002
Dark - Light	2.19, p=0.170	0.91, p=0.893	0.56, p=0.980	Not computable
Dark - Square	1.46, p=0.563	6.50, p<0.001	0.33, p=0.997	Not computable
Dark - Stripe	0.11, p=1.000	4.11, p=0.001	0.22, p=0.999	Not computable
Light - Square	2.81, p=0.040	5.59, p<0.001	0.24, p=0.999	0.74, p=0.934
Light - Stripe	2.24, p=0.155	3.21, p=0.015	0.33, p=0.997	0.19, p=0.999
Square - Stripe	1.34, p=0.641	2.39, p=0.128	0.10, p=1.000	0.55, p=0.977

¹Comparisons with Border (in bold) are simple contrasts of *a priori* interest and so without control for multiple testing. All other tests are secondary and have Tukey-type control for multiple testing.